

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,339	12/05/2003	Tzu-Chiang Shih	PMXP0170USA	1338
27765	7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			WILLIAMS, DON J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2878	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/707,339	SHIH ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Don Williams	2878
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
Period fo	·	•	
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ute, cause the application to become	NICATION: a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	· ·		:
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	/05/2003.	•
2a) <u></u>		nis action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dienoeit	ion of Claims		·
-	.		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application		
5 \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	•
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		· · ·
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
7)∐ 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Vor election requirement	· :
٥/ك	are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	•
Applicat	ion Papers	•	4
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	•
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) ad	ccepted or b) Objected t	o by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
_			0.440(=) (-1) === (0
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume.	nto have been rescived	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		• • • — —
	application from the International Bure		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ot received.
			\$
		•	
			·* .
Attachmen	• •	<u>_</u> .	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/707,339

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Victor et al (4,751,380).

As to claim 1, Victor et al disclose compatibility of a working surface (13) with an optical mouse (11), the optical mouse (11) comprise of an optical sensor (25); utilizing the optical sensor (25) to sense the optical characteristics (16, 18) of the working surface (13); utilizing a judging circuit (100) to judge the compatibility of the working surface (13) with the optical mouse (11) according to the optical characteristics (16, 18) sensed by the optical sensor (25); utilizing the judging circuit (100) to generate judging signals (A+F), (B+G), (A+C), and (D+C) according to the results obtained, (see column 2, lines 6-55, fig. 1, column 3, lines 18-67, column 4, lines 1-67, fig. 5, column 6, lines 63-68, column 7, lines 1-50).

As to claim 2, Victor et al disclose displaying the judge characteristics results (16, 18) on a display device (video terminal) or (video display) according to the judging signal, (see fig. 1, column 3, lines 67-68, column 4, lines 1-50, column 7, lines15-50).

As to claim 3, Victor et al disclose one (LED) light-emitting device (15), (see fig. 1, column 3, lines 31-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,339

Art Unit: 2878

As to claim 5, Victor et al disclose the display device is a monitor (video display or video terminal) of a computer system, (see column 7, lines 39-50).

As to claim 6, Victor et al disclose the judging circuit (100) is a control circuit of the optical mouse (11), (see fig. 5, column 7, lines 15-67).

As to claim 7, Victor et al disclose utilizing the optical sensor (25) to generate a sensing value, (see fig. 5, column 6, lines 63-68, column 7, lines 1-67).

As to claim 8, Victor et al disclose the judging circuit (100) is a central processing unit of a computer system, comprised of utilizing the judging circuit (100) to operate the sensing value, to judge the compatibility of the working surface (13) with the optical mouse (11), (see fig. 1, column 3, lines 18-30, fig. 5, column 6, lines 63-68, column 7, lines 1-67).

As to claim 9, Victor et al disclose an optical mouse (11) use for determining the compatibility of a working surface (13) with an optical sensor (25) for sensing the optical characteristics (16,18) of the working surface (13) to generate a sensing value (1); a judging circuit (100) is connected electrically to the optical sensor (25) for generating a judging signals (A+F), (B+G), (A+C), or (D+E) according to the sensing value (1); and a display device (video display or video terminal) electrically connected to the judging circuit for displaying the compatibility of the working surface (13) with the optical mouse (11) according to the judging signal, (see fig. 1, column 3, lines 17-67, column 4, lines 1-67, fig. 5, column 6, lines 63-68, column 7, lines 1-50).

As to claim 10, Victor et al disclose an optical mouse (11) with a judging circuit (100) is a control circuit of the optical mouse, (see fig. 5, column 7, lines 15-50).

Art Unit: 2878

As to claim 11, Victor et al disclose display device is a LED (15) installed on the optical mouse (11), (see fig. 1, column 3, lines 17-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Victor et al.

As to claims 4 and 12, Victor et al fail to disclose wherein the display device is an LCD device. It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to use a LCD device for a display device to monitor and view the image characteristics of the working surface to determine and compare the grid patterns since they are functionally equivalent.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Don Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-8538. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30a.m. to 5:30a.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/707,339 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Don Williams
Patent Examiner
Art Unit: 2878
Ph: 571-272-8538

DAVID PORTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800